UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,359	12/30/2003	Ioan Sauciuc	42P18283	1189
8791 7590 05/25/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			WEINSTEIN, LEONARD J	
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•		•	(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		10/749,359	SAUCIUC ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leonard J. Weinstein	3746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 /	<u>March 2007</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	ı.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14 and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-17 and 19-24</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election require	ment.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
. 9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
а)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ate have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Burea	•					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	г аселі Аррікацоп				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment of March 12, 2007. In making the below rejections and/or objections the examiner has considered and addressed each of the applicant's arguments.

Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6 and 23, drawn to an apparatus using an thermoelectric module to encourage a substance to change its physical state, classified in class 257, subclass E23.088.
 - II. Claims 6-12, drawn to a method for determining a presence of a threshold amount of fluid, classified in class 417, subclass 53.
 - III. Claims 13-22 and 24, drawn to a system for a cooling a component within a computer, classified in class 69, subclass 259.2.
- 3. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention II claims a heat source and a step of powering said heat source not required by the apparatus of Invention I, therefore the process of Invention II cannot be carried out by the apparatus of Invention I.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

Application/Control Number: 10/749,359 Page 3

Art Unit: 3746

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Inventions III and I are directed to related apparatuses using thermoelectric modules to change a fluid state of a substance. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design as taught by Zhang 5,818,131. Zhang teaches a thermoelectric module and a linear compressor assembly. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election

7. If Invention II is selected a further election of species is required. Invention II as discussed contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: species II-1 directed to a method with the step of heating a liquid to a boiling point; and species II-2 directed to method with the step of cooling a vapor within a liquid pump to a condensation point. The species are independent or distinct because as each step requires a materially different process.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

8. If Invention III is selected a further election of species is required. Invention III contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: species III-1 directed toward system wherein a pump is located above a lowest gravitational point of the system; species III-2 wherein a compressor is located below a highest gravitational point. The species are independent or distinct because as claimed the inventions distinct systems whereby each has a configuration mutually exclusive from the other.

9. Species II-1 is drawn to a method of operation for an apparatus corresponding to species III-2, further species II-2 is drawn to a method of operation for an apparatus corresponding to III-1. If inventions II and III are elected a further election of species of each invention must be made for an apparatus and method of operation corresponding to the apparatus as set forth herein.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/749,359

Art Unit: 3746

hunff/ Ween

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on restriction of claims 1-24 as amended by the amendment of March 12, 2007.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard J. Weinstein whose telephone number is 571-272-9961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700